

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/699,517	MCDONOUGH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Abbas I Abdulselam.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Katz, Jon Fleming

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4, 11, 16, 19, 20 Kreisel et al. (USPA 6088576)

Identification of prior art discussed: Adam et al (USPA 6373047)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The Examiner agrees to withdraw the rejection of based on dependent claims 4, 11, 16, 19, and 20. The Examiner agrees with an applicant that claims are not quite covered on the prior art of record. Hence a new office action will be sent.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Abbas Abdulselam
Examiner's signature, if required